P.R. No. 255/06 Presented by Sri Pravin Verma dated 16/1/06

(1)

003

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BILASPUR CHHATTISGARH**

Single Bench

**WRIT PETITION NO. 250 /2006**

Mangal Prasad Sahu,
S/o- Late Kailash Chandra Sahu,
Aged about 24 years,
R/o- Gram & Post - Baloda,
Tehsil - Mahasamund,
Distt. Mahasamund,
Chhattisgarh. **PETITIONER**

**VERSUS**

1. State of Chattisgarh,
Through-Secretary,
Deptt. Of General Administration,
Mantralaya, Raipur,
Chhattisgarh.

Secretary,
Deptt. of Education,
D.K.S. Bhawan, Mantralaya,
Raipur,
CG.

3. State of Madhya Pradesh,
Through-Secretary,
Genera Administration Deptt.,
Secretariat- Bhopal,
M.P.

District Education Officer,
Mahasamund, Distt. Mahasamund,
Chhattisgarh.

5. Union of India,
Throgh-Director,
Departmemt of Personnel, Training and
Public Grievances,
Lok Nayak Bhawan, Khan Market,
New Delhi. **RESPONDENTS**

RECEIVED COPY
Clerk to A. G. BILASPUR
16/1/06

OATH COMMISSIONER
APARNA MALVIYA
CG-2073/96
16/1/06
Bilaspur Chhattisgarh

RIC Srivastava 16-1-06

for THAKUR VIJAY SINGH
Asst. Solicitor General of India
CHHATTISGARH HIGH COURT
High Court Campus, Bilaspur (C.G.)

**WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226/227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA FOR ISSUANCE OF APPROPRIATE WRIT IN THE NATURE OF MANDAMUS, CERTIORARI ETC.**

XI-HC-22

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

846

# आदेश पत्रक

मामला क्रमांक WP 250/06 सन् 200

..... विरुद्ध .....

| आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित | आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित | कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश |
|---|---|---|
| | **18-1-2006**<br>Petitioner by Shri Amit Verma, Advocate.<br>Shri S.P. Kale, Govt. Advocate, for the State.<br>Miss Sharmila Singhai, Central Govt. Counsel for respondent no.5.<br>Application for urgent hearing is allowed.<br>Heard.<br>This case relates to compassionate appointment consequent upon the death of an employee serving in the State.<br>Learned counsel for the petitioner categorically stated that the death of the employee occurred on 24-3-2000 i.e. after 1-11-1997 and not earlier. It is further submitted that in batch of cases bearing W.P. No.1322/2002 involving the same question, orders have been passed by this Court on 20/12/2005. He prays that similar orders may be passed in this case also.<br>Learned counsel for the State does not dispute the above fact and states that the State of Chhattisgarh has taken a decision to consider the cases of dependants of those employees who died on or after 1/11/1997 strictly in accordance with the policy prevalent at that time. He however submits that four months time for issuing necessary orders may be given.<br>In view of the submissions made by learned counsel appearing for the parties, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, in the opinion of this Court, ends of justice will be served if a direction is given to the State of Chhattisgarh and the authorities concerned to consider and decide the case of the petitioner in accordance with the policy prevalent at the time of death of the employee concerned. If the petitioner found eligible, appointment orders will be issued as early as possible preferably on or before 31st May, 2006. The petitioner is also directed to render due co-operation to the authorities. It is made clear that if any grievance still remains, the petitioner may avail the remedy available under the law including filing of the petition before this Court.<br>With the aforesaid observations, this petition stands disposed of.<br>Certified copy as per rules.<br>Copy to the State counsel, who will be obliged to communicate the order to the respondents for compliance.<br>Sd/-<br>Fakhruddin<br>Judge | |

[पीछे देखिये

16.2.06 18.1.06